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Abstract
The long term success of organizations depends on many factors. In order to survive, service sector organizations need to offer quality in service. These companies try to retain their customers by providing them quality services. The following study examines the service quality of the mobile service providers and retention intentions of the customers’ with the quality offered. The study focuses only on SMS service provided by telecom organizations. Service quality is measured using 5 dimensions tangibles, empathy, assurance, responsiveness and reliability and relationship is determined with customer retention. The data was collected from 331 university students using Short Messaging Service (SMS) of any cellular company. Correlation and regression analysis are used to analyze the data. Results and discussions are presented.
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1. Introduction
Organizations are striving to be the market leader. But to be the market leader firms have to be competitive. In order to be competitive firms adopt all strategies that might bring positive change and can offer higher returns. Out of the drivers for success customers are considered to be the king. Organizations now strive to retain their customers rather than to create new ones, because retaining customers is less costly and at the same time present customers can create more customers with their word of mouth marketing.

Retaining customers is concern for all manufacturing and services sector organizations; similarly this concept is not bounded to any specific area. Telecom sector is one of the major sectors in services industry having great amount of contribution for public and state. In Pakistan telecom sector is considered to be one of the most developed sectors of economy. This sector contributes a significantly in overall GDP of the country. This sector has seen a great development in near past. The growth rate shows that number of subscribers has increased from 68,000 in 1996 to 3.3 million by end-2003; since then the subscriber base has heaved to reach 80 million in early
2007 and exceed 95 million in 2009 (PTA). Cellular growth time period in Pakistan which lasted for almost five years (2003-2008), broke almost all global records from network coverage to number of subscriber. As Valdecantos (2009) noted the mobile telephone market of Pakistan has been experiencing 100% sustainable growth rate for some years now. Now there is stiff competition between firms as rapid growth has lead to saturation in major markets in the world. Now firms strive to retain their customers as it is demand of time and it is cost effective as well.

This growing industry has not only attracted the business community, but also academic researchers. Numbers of studies have been conducted in cellular industry in different countries, ranging from engineering to marketing aspects of cellular services industry. Many researchers have linked consumer retention with sustainable financial performance for instance Fornell and Wernerfelt, (1987); Reichheld and Sasser, (1990). Steenkamp (1989) has viewed customer retention as one of the factor that can increase market share. Yankee Group indicated that mobile operators approximate the cost of acquiring a new client at seven times more than the annual cost of retaining an existing subscriber on an average basis.

This study is conducted to see impact of quality of service provided by telecom sector in Pakistan and its impact on intentions of customers to retain client server relation. Only SMS (Short Messaging Service) has been used to see whether there is impact of service quality on customers’ intentions to use and stay.

2. Literature Review

Quality is the overall experience which a customer perceives through interacting a product and services. Parasuraman et al. (1988) has captured the definition of quality as taken as a whole a judgment. “Service quality has been described as a form of attitude, related but not equivalent to satisfaction that results from the comparison of expectations with performance” (Bolton and Drew, 1991; Cronin Jr. and Taylor, 1992; Parasuraman et al. 1988; and Shepherd, 1999). Quality had a long term impact on the satisfaction of customers (Omachanu et al. 2008). Atalik & Arslan (2009) found that creating value and offering quality of service offered to customer creates loyal customers.

Customers’ retention has received a lot of attention from researchers. Various researchers have given numerous promises of customers’ retention. Fornell and Wernerfelt, (1987); Reichheld and Sasser, (1990) found that customers retention reduces costs and can be source of high market share. Henkel et al. (2006) found satisfied customers of telecom sector have high extent of usage and intentions to repurchase in future. Cronin et al. (2000) found that service quality, service value, and satisfaction are all directly to customers intentions of usage. Steenkamp (1989) mentioned quality as aid that retains the customer which assists to uphold share in the market likewise Fornell (1992) acknowledged satisfaction with service facilitate to retain customers.

Service quality is usually regarded as the customer’s impression of the relative inferiority/superiority of a service provider and its services (Bitner & Hubert 1994, Tsoukatos & Rand 2006). Chau & Kao (2009) further found that service dimensions can be argued to impact customer’s evaluation of the service provider and his intentions to select the service provider. Kandampully (1998) says that Service loyalty of firms leads to loyal customers. Customers’ loyalty and trust is gained by service provider’s commitment to provision of services, quality of services. Kim et al. (2004) found that better quality services create customers satisfaction and ultimately creates loyal customers for future. Loyal customers are reported to have higher customer retention rates, commit a higher share of their category spending to the firm, and are more likely to recommend others to become customers of the firm. (Zeithaml, 2000, “Keingham, et al. 2007). Chen (2008) says that perceived value of service and satisfaction with the service has significant positive effect on behavioral intentions of usage in future. Cronin et al. (2000) found that service quality, service value, and satisfaction are all directly to customers intentions of usage. Cöner and Güngör (2002) found the quality of service would leads to more loyal customer. Lai (2004) in his research in Singapore found a positive relationship between service quality and customer satisfaction and retention. Canadian scholar Barnes (1997) emphasize that loyal customer would keep recommending to others which helps the organization to earn more customers.

Kim et al. (2004) found that call quality, value added services and customer support play a significant role in building customer satisfaction for cellular service subscribers and they tend to keep using current service as the level of the customer satisfaction is high that leads to customer loyalty. Deng et al. (n.d.) argues that out of the contributories of customers satisfaction perceived service quality is significant determinant of customer satisfaction and customer satisfaction enhances customer loyalty along with trust and switching cost.

There are five specific dimensions of service quality: tangibles, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy (Parasuraman et al. 1988). Cavana et al. (2007) says that there are five dimensions of quality Assurance,
Responsiveness, Empathy, Reliability and Convenience; these dimensions are considered as significantly for quality concept. 
(Baumann et al. 2006) found that affective attitude, empathy and customer satisfaction leads the customers towards further recommendation to other users. Responsiveness leads to short term retention while affective attitude and empathy has long term impact on customers’ satisfaction and retention. Cavana et al. (2007) found that assurance, responsiveness, empathy have strong relation with service quality, similarly, but reliability and convenience were found to be relevant but not highly significant. It is evident that in order to retain customers, customers should be satisfied through service quality (Brown & Gulycz, 2001). Lai (2004) found positive relationship between tangibles, empathy and assurance and customers’ satisfaction. Same findings were given by Cronin and Taylor (1992) in their research.

On the basis of above given literature following model and hypothesis can be drawn

**Hypothesis of the study**

H1 There is positive relationship between service quality and Customers’ Retention
H2 Tangibles are positively related with the Customers’ Retention
H3 Empathy is positively correlated with the Customers’ Retention
H4 Assurance is positively correlated to Customers’ Retention
H5 Responsiveness is positively correlated to Customers’ Retention
H6 Reliability is positively related with the customers’ Retention

### 3. Research Design

#### 3.1 Sample

In Pakistan youth is the biggest market for cellular companies. This study was also conducted on the youth and that part of youth that is in university education. The reason behind selection of university youth as sample is because it covers individuals covering major portion of mobile users and university students cover majority of youth and they are more vigilant and have great attraction for change and to retain these customers is difficult one. Youth pay more attention towards promotional advertisements of telecom companies. 400 students were selected from different universities and surveys questionnaire were personally administered. 331 questionnaires were received back with a response rate of 82.75%. There were 60% male students and 40% female respondents with an average age of 21.60 years.

#### 3.2 Instrument and Measurement

To operationalize and measure the dimensions of customers’ satisfaction the instrument was adopted from the research work of Lai (2004). It includes five dimensions of Tangibles, Responsiveness, Reliability, Empathy, and Assurance. Instrument used to measure Retention was adopted from Yu et al. (2005). The survey questionnaire contained 23 questions, items including the personal demographics of the respondents like gender, age, area of belongingness, qualification where as remaining items were measured on 7 point Likert Scale.

#### 3.3 Data analysis

The research was conducted to measure the customers’ retentions regarding SMS in cellular companies of Pakistan. The Primary data was collected from the university students. SPSS 17.0 version was used for analysis.

### 4. Findings and Conclusion

The results regarding the issue are as follows.

Table 1 shows mean scores and standard deviation for overall service quality and its dimensions. The mean score and standard deviation of Retention is also given. The instrument used for data collection comprised 7 point likert scale ranging from strongly disagrees to strongly agree. The mean score for service quality is 4.828 which indicate that respondents are slightly agree with the service quality of their SMS service providers. The scores on dimensions of service quality indicate that respondents are more satisfied on the ‘tangibles’ and ‘responsiveness’, moderately satisfied with two dimensions assurance and reliability, and less satisfied on the dimension ‘empathy’. The Retention intentions have a mean score 5.3369 which indicates that overall people are slightly
satisfied from their SMS service providers. The correlation between service quality and its dimensions is given below:

**Insert Table 2 Here**

Results of Pearson Correlation are shown in Table 2. The table indicates the relationship between the overall services quality and customer’s intentions to retain. The table also shows the relationship between the five dimensions of service quality with the retention.

The results indicate that the overall service quality has a significant relationship with the customer retention ($r=0.394$, $p<0.01$). This particular finding confirms the hypothesis H1 that the service quality has a positive and significant relationship with the customer retention. The dimension ‘tangibles’ also shows a positive and significant relationship with the customer retention ($r=0.190$, $p<0.01$). This particular finding confirms the hypothesis H2 that tangibles have a positive and significant relationship with the customer retention. The dimension ‘empathy’ has surprisingly shown a negative and significant relationship with the customer retention ($r=-0.248$, $p<0.01$). This particular finding does not confirm the hypothesis H3 that empathy has a positive and significant relationship with the customer satisfaction. The dimension ‘assurance’ also shows a positive and significant relationship with the customer retention ($r=0.359$, $p<0.01$). This particular finding confirms the hypothesis H4 that assurance has a positive and significant relationship with the customer retention. The dimension ‘responsiveness’ also shows a positive and significant relationship with the customer retention ($r=0.381$, $p<0.01$). This particular finding confirms the hypothesis H5 that responsiveness has a positive and significant relationship with the customer retention. The dimension ‘reliability’ also shows a positive and significant relationship with the customer retention ($r=0.297$, $p<0.01$). This particular finding confirms the hypothesis H6 that reliability has a positive and significant relationship with the customer retention.

The stepwise regression was run to find out that whether the service quality is a predictor of the satisfaction of the customers. The results are shown in table 3.

**Insert Table 3 Here**

Table 3 explains that a relatively low percentage of the variation in satisfaction is explained by the variables entered in the equation (R-squared=15.6%, Adjusted R-squared=15.3%). Thus 15% variation in the satisfaction is explained by the service quality which is measured here using 5 dimensions. The F ratio is statistically significant as shown by the table 3. The other scores are given within table 4.

**Insert Table 4 Here**

5. Discussions

The scores indicate that customers are slightly satisfied from the service quality of SMS service providers where tangibles and assurance score more than other dimensions but empathy has the lowest score. At the same time the correlations show that dimension empathy is negatively related with satisfaction while other four dimensions tangibles, assurance, responsiveness and reliability are positively related with the satisfaction. All dimensions have a significant relationship with the satisfaction of customers. The regression was run which indicated that the service quality predicts 15% change in retention. As this study is conducted on only one service of cellular companies, its scope can be broadened and other services should also be included in the studies. This study is the first one of its nature in Pakistani scenario. This research provides a direction to the decision makers regarding the dimensions of service quality those require high level of attention. This study is restricted to only one service (short messaging service) of cellular companies. Other services might provide different results.

**References**


Emerging WLANs on Incumbent Cellular Service Providers in the U.S. *M.J. Services marketing, McGraw-Hill Singapore*


Table 1. Mean and S.D for service quality, its dimensions and satisfaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SERVQUAL</td>
<td>4.820</td>
<td>0.71827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangibles</td>
<td>5.4003</td>
<td>15432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empathy</td>
<td>3.3595</td>
<td>1.51529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assurance</td>
<td>4.852</td>
<td>1.20069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsiveness</td>
<td>5.0725</td>
<td>1.13993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td>4.8741</td>
<td>1.0582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention</td>
<td>5.3369</td>
<td>1.28002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Correlation between service quality, its dimensions, and satisfaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SERVQUAL</th>
<th>Tangibles</th>
<th>Empathy</th>
<th>Assurance</th>
<th>Responsiveness</th>
<th>Reliability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tangibles</td>
<td>Pearson</td>
<td>.438**</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empathy</td>
<td>Pearson</td>
<td>-0.058</td>
<td>-0.086</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assurance</td>
<td>Pearson</td>
<td>.705**</td>
<td>.145**</td>
<td>-.253**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsiveness</td>
<td>Pearson</td>
<td>.860**</td>
<td>.241**</td>
<td>-.323**</td>
<td>.539**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td>Pearson</td>
<td>.705**</td>
<td>.258**</td>
<td>-.119*</td>
<td>.394**</td>
<td>.479**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention</td>
<td>Pearson</td>
<td>.394**</td>
<td>.190**</td>
<td>-.248**</td>
<td>.359**</td>
<td>.381**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).
Table 3. Regression Analysis (dependant variable ‘satisfaction’)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>0.394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R²</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Error</td>
<td>1.178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Regression Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>S.E for Beta</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.703</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>7.785</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dependant variable, satisfaction

![Research Model](image-url)

Figure 1. Research Model